EXEMPTIONS FROM TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION

whereby an estate devolves upon the State, the latter would been substituted without being able to rely on the exercise of sovereign power, and there would in that case be no reason of gard the State as immune from the jurisdiction. It would likewise if a contract had been made by the State to take over liabilities of the Bank. In this case, however, we are concernity with a Law which had nationalized banks in the public interest accordance with the policy pursued by the State concerned.

Pauer v. Hungarian People's Republic, [1957] Int'l L. Rep. 211, 212

The English Court of Appeal in Kahan v. Pakistan Federation in 1951 that the Federation of Pakistan, although within the Bish Commonwealth, had the status of an independent sover. State and had the same position as a defendant in a suit broughthe United Kingdom as any other foreign sovereign. Concerning sovereign immunity of the Federation of Pakistan, Jenkins, L. J.

"The general rule is well settled, and it is unnecessary for to do more than refer to the statement of it given in the sp of Lord Atkin in the case of Compania Naviera Vascongo S.S. Cristina [1938] A.C. 485, 490], where he said: The four tion for the application to set aside the writ and arrest of ship is to be found in two propositions of international engrafted into our domestic law which seem to me to be established and to be beyond dispute. The first is that the co of a country will not implead a foreign sovereign, that is, will not by their process make him against his will a part legal proceedings whether the proceedings involve process ag his person or seek to recover from him specific property or 🥦 ages. The second is that they will not by their process, who the sovereign is a party to the proceedings or not, seize or del property which is his or of which he is in possession or con-There has been some difference in the practice of nations possible limitations of this second principle as to whether it tends to property only used for the commercial purposes of sovereign or to personal private property. In this country in my opinion well settled that it applies to both'.

". . . A foreign sovereign cannot be directly impleaded unless the submits to the jurisdiction of the court."

The Court held that the case came within the first proposition stated.

Kahan v. Pakistan Federation, [4951] 2 K.B. 1003, 1010-1012.

Tripartite Commission bailment The case of *Dollfus Micq et Compagnic S.A.* v. Bank of England, involved 64 bars of gold stated to be owned by a French company, Dollfus Mieg et Cie S.A., that the Germans in 1944 had forcibly and wrongfully removed from a French bank. The Tripartite Commission which was established in 1946 by an agreement among a number of the

Bank

agair

80Ve1